Towards Self-managed Pervasive Middleware using OWL/SWRL ontologies



Weishan Zhang Klaus Marius Hansen

University of Aarhus





IST-2005-034891



Plan

- Motivation
- Dynamic context modeling facilitating self-management
- Self-management Onotologies structure
 - Self-management rules with SWRL
- Architecture of semantic web based self-management



Evaluations

Motivation

- Context awareness based self-management
- Dynamic contexts are critical for self-management

This leads to the design:

- SeMaPS: a set of Self-Management for Pervasive Service context ontologies, that considering dynamic contexts
- Three layered Self-management



Dynamicity reporting for self-management

- State change reporting. State machines are used to report device state changes as events through the Hydra Event Manager.
- Web service request/reply reporting. The requests and replies (and their associated data) can be used to analyse the runtime structure of the Hydra systems. Here the Flamenco/Probe (IPSniffer) is used.

Dynamic contexts should reflect this dynamicity of pervasive service systems at run time

 Limbo (a pervasive service compiler) is used to generate needed code to report invocations and state machines.



Web service call reporting





SeMaPS ontologies structure











State machine and FlamencoProbe ontology







yourwallpaper.com

Self-management rule specification with SWRL

_ 🗆 🗙

• A simple diagnosis example

| Name Comment Name http://localhost:9999/ontology/DeviceRule.owl#flowmeterToohighPig SWRL Rule device:FlowMeter(?device) ^ device:hasStateMachine(?device, ?statemachine) ^ statemachine:hasStates(?statemachine, ?state) ^ statemachine:doActivity(?state, ?action) ^ | ≪ SW |
|--|--|
| Name http://localhost:9999/ontology/DeviceRule.owl#flowmeterToohighPig SWRL Rule device:FlowMeter(?device) ∧ device:hasStateMachine(?device, ?statemachine) ∧ statemachine:hasStates(?statemachine, ?state) ∧ statemachine:doActivity(?state, ?action) ∧ | Name |
| http://localhost:9999/ontology/DeviceRule.owl#flowmeterToohighPig SWRL Rule device:FlowMeter(?device) | Name |
| SWRL Rule device:FlowMeter(?device) ∧ device:hasStateMachine(?device, ?statemachine) ∧ statemachine:hasStates(?statemachine, ?state) ∧ statemachine:doActivity(?state, ?action) ∧ | http://lo |
| SWRL Rule device:FlowMeter(?device) device:hasStateMachine(?device, ?statemachine) statemachine:hasStates(?statemachine, ?state) statemachine:doActivity(?state, ?action) | |
| SWRL Rule device:FlowMeter(?device) device:hasStateMachine(?device, ?statemachine) statemachine:hasStates(?statemachine, ?state) statemachine:doActivity(?state, ?action) | |
| device:FlowMeter(?device) ∧ device:hasStateMachine(?device, ?statemachine) ∧ statemachine:hasStates(?statemachine, ?state) ∧ statemachine:doActivity(?state, ?action) ∧ | SWRL |
| statemachine:actionResult(?action, ?result) ∧ abox:isNumeric(?result) ∧ swrlb:greaterThan(?result, 16.0) → device:currentMalfunction(device:Flowmeter, error:PumpBroken) | statema statema abox:isl swrlb:g → dev |
| • • • 🔊 R B 🗩 = 🔽 | |
| | |



Self-management rule specification with SWRL

• A not simple example for checking current configuration

ComponentBased(?con) ∧ hasComponent(?con, ?comp1) ∧ osgicomponent:reference(?comp1, ?ref1) ∧ osgicomponent:cardinality(?ref1, ?car1) ∧ swrlb:containsIgnoreCase(?car1, "1.") ∧ osgicomponent:interface(?ref1, ?inter1) ∧ osgicomponent:interfaceName(?inter1, ?name1) ∧ hasComponent(?con, ?comp2) ∧ osgicomponent:service(?comp2, ?ser2) ∧ osgicomponent:provide(?ser2, ?inter2) ∧ osgicomponent:interfaceName(?inter2, ?name2) ∧ swrlb:equal(?name1, ?name2) → sqwrl:selectDistinct(?con, ?comp1, ?comp2, ?name1, ?name2) ∧ sqwrl:select("validConfiguration")



yourwallpaper.com



SWRL Rule

Daim

Self-management rule specification with SWRL

A complex example

ipsniffer:messageID(?message1,?message1id) A ipsniffer:messageID(?message2, ?message2id) A ipspiffer:messageID(?message3, ?message3id) A ipsniffer:messagelD(?message4, ?message4id) 🔥 swrlb:equal(?message1id, ?message2id) 🔥 swrlb:equal(?message2id, ?message3id) 🔥 swrlb:equal(?message3id, ?message4id) 🔥 abox:hasURI(?message1,?u1) 🔨 abox:hasURI(?message2, ?u2) A abox:hasURI(?message3, ?u3) 🔥 abox:hasURI(?message4, ?u4) 🔥 swrlb:containslanoreCase(?u1, "clientbegin") 🔨 swrlb:containslanoreCase(?u2, "servicebeain") A swrlb:containsIgnoreCase(?u3, "serviceend") A swrlb:containsignoreCase(?u4, "clientend") A ipsniffer:messageSourcelP(?message1,?ip1) ∧ ipsniffer:ipaddr(?ip1,?ipa1) 🔥 ipsniffer:ipaddr(?ip2, ?ipa2) 🔥 ipsniffer:hasMessage(?process1, ?message1) 🔥 ipsniffer:hasProcessID(?process1, ?pid1) 🔥 ipsniffer:messageTargetIP(?message1, ?ip2) \land ipsniffer:initiatingTime(?message1, ?time1) 🔥 ipsniffer:messageSourcelP(?message2, ?ip3) A ipsniffer:messageTargetIP(?message2, ?ip4) 🔥 ipsniffer:ipaddr(?ip3, ?ipa3) ∧ ipsniffer;ipaddr(?ip4, ?ipa4) 🔥 ipsniffer:messageTargetPort(?message2, ?port2) 🔥 ipsniffer:hasMessage(?process2, ?message2) A ipsniffer:hasProcessID(?process2, ?pid2) A ipsniffer:initiatingTime(?message2, ?time2) A ipsniffer:messageSourceIP(?message3, ?ip5) ∧ ipsniffer:messageTargetIP(?message3, ?ip6) 🔥 ipsniffer:ipaddr(?ip5, ?ipa5) 🔥 ipsniffer:ipaddr(?ip6, ?ipa6) 🔥 ipsniffer:messageTargetPort(?message3, ?port3) 🔥 ipsniffer:hasMessage(?process3, ?message3) A ipsniffer:hasProcessID(?process3, ?pid3) 🔥 ipsniffer:initiatingTime(?message3, ?time3) 🔥 ipsniffer:messageSourcelP(?message4, ?ip7) A ipsniffer:messageTargetIP(?message4, ?ip8) 🔥 ipsniffer:ipaddr(?ip7,?ipa7) 🔨 ipsniffer:ipaddr(?ip8, ?ipa8) 🔥 ipsniffer:messageTargetPort(?message4, ?port4) 🔥 ipsniffer:hasMessage(?process4, ?message4) A ipsniffer:hasProcessID(?process4, ?pid4) 🔥 ipsniffer:initiatingTime(?message4, ?time4) ∧ temporal:duration(?d1, ?time1, ?time4, temporal:Milliseconds) \land temporal:duration(?d2, ?time2, ?time3, temporal:Milliseconds) → ipsniffer:inovoke(?message1, ?message2) ∧ sqwrl:select(?ip1, ?ipa1, ?pid1, ?ipa2, ?port2, ?pid2, ?d1, ?d2)

B ≠ =

[] ←

∧ →

 $\langle \rangle$

 \sim

Architecture of the semantic web based selfmanagement





Evaluations

Using rule group

• Executing all rules

| Update | InferringTime | AfterEventTillInferred |
|--------|---------------|------------------------|
| 328 | 328 | 328 |
| 297 | 281 | 297 |
| 297 | 297 | 297 |
| 297 | 281 | 297 |
| 344 | 344 | 344 |

Performance after rule grouping

| Update | InferringTime | AfterEventTillInferred |
|--------|---------------|------------------------|
| 843 | 843 | 843 |
| 906 | 906 | 906 |
| 922 | 906 | 922 |
| 719 | 719 | 719 |
| 953 | 938 | 938 |

Performance before rule grouping

Protege 3.4 Build 130, JVM 1.6.02b06, Heap memory is 266M, Windows XP SP3. The hardware platform is: Thinkpad T61P T7500 2.2G CPU, 7200rpm hard disk, 2G DDR2 RAM. The time measurement is in millisecond. The size of DeviceRule ontology is 238,824 bytes, and contains 20 rules, including 6 rules for the Pig system, 12 generic rules which can be used in a number of domains, 3 rules (2 are shared with Pig rules) for the Weather Station, and 1 rule for FlamencoProbe related rules which is the biggest rule in the DeviceRule ontology.









5/26/2008

ICSR